CHANGE REQUEST

FOR THE UPDATE OF A NORMATIVE CGI-MP DELIVERABLE-ACMT.015.001.01

-Note: the purpose of this document is to give guidelines to parties who want to introduce a request to change an existing **normative CGI-MP deliverable**. Such change requests are subject to the approval of the CGI-MP Working Group in charge of the related deliverable and subsequent the CGI-MP Plenary. Please consult the CGI-MP Deliverables Approval and Maintenance Process for additional details. Change requests are to be sent to CGI-MP Support <u>CGI.Support@swift.com</u>. All change requests conforming to this template received by March 1st will be considered for development in the following yearly CGI-MP maintenance cycle which completes with publication of new deliverables on July 1st the same year.

A. Origin of the request:

A.1 Submitter: Name of the submitter, organization, group, initiative or community that submits the change request.

Lorraine Orr, Identrust, CGI-MP WG4

Linda Haddad, Bank of America, CGI-MP WG4

A.2 Contact person: person(s) who can be contacted to get additional information on the request (name, e-mail, telephone)

Glen Solimine, J.P. Morgan; glen.p.solimine@jpmchase.com; phone 212.552.2615

Linda Haddad, Bank of America, CGI-MP WG4 linda.hddad@baml.com ph 925-675-3061

B. Related CGI-MP deliverables:

This is a list of CGI-MP normative deliverables which is impacted by the change request.

This CR incorporates changes to the structure of the eBAM harmonization acmt.015.01.01

C. Description of the change request:

The change request form must be exhaustive for all particular change requested to each normative CGI-MP deliverable (for example, adding, deleting, modifying, renaming, changing the cardinality, moving an element/component, or changing the type of an element, changing a code list. adding new functionality which requires several changes).

Change requests may not lead to creation of new messages. In such cases, a new work item proposal needs to be submitted to the CGI-MP Plenary.

Category	Element Name	ISO Index Number Current	ISO Index Number Proposed	Details	acmt.015.001.01 Account Maint Req Ref ID	Business Purpose
Account Servicer	<brnchid></brnchid>	3.1.25	No Change to ISO Index Number	Request a change from Optional to Bilaterally Determined.	3.1.25 Optional to Bilaterally Determined? Okay	In the case where a bank has more than one branch for processing, this code is used for bank routing. Therefore, some banks wished to make this mandatory. Consensus is to make it Bilaterally Determined.
Account Servicer	<id></id>	3.1.26	No Change to ISO Index Number	Request a change from Optional to Bilaterally Determined.	3.1.26 Optional to Bilaterally Determined? Okay	In the case where a bank has more than one branch for processing, this code is used for bank routing. Therefore, some banks wished to make this mandatory. Consensus is to make it Bilaterally Determined.

Organization	Business Address	$\begin{array}{c} 6.1.0\\ 6.1.1\\ 6.1.2\\ 6.1.3\\ 6.1.4\\ 6.1.5\\ 6.1.6\\ 6.1.7\\ 6.1.8\\ 6.1.9\end{array}$	No Change to ISO Index Number	We support the changes that were made to the biz and legal address sections for the Account Opening message and question why the same changes were not applied to the Excluded Mandate Maintenance message. We would like to see a change here for sake of consistency.	Update to reflect same values as Message 007 6.1.0 AdrTp - O 6.1.1 Dept - O 6.1.2 Sub Dept - O 6.1.3 StrNm - O 6.1.5 PstCd - O 6.1.5 PstCd - O 6.1.6 TwnNm - R 6.1.7 CtrySubDvsn - O 6.1.8 Ctry - R 6.1.9 AdrLine - O	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Organization	Legal Address	$\begin{array}{c} 6.1.0\\ 6.1.1\\ 6.1.2\\ 6.1.3\\ 6.1.4\\ 6.1.5\\ 6.1.6\\ 6.1.7\\ 6.1.8\\ 6.1.9\end{array}$	No Change to ISO Index Number	We support the changes that were made to the biz and legal address sections for the Account Opening message and question why the same changes were not applied to the Excluded Mandate Maintenance message. We would like to see a change here for sake of consistency.	Update to reflect same values as Message 007 6.1.1 AdrTp - O 6.1.2 Dept - O 6.1.3 Sub Dept - O 6.1.4 StrNm - O 6.1.5 BldgNb - O 6.1.6 BtCd - O 6.1.7 TwnNm - R 6.1.8 CtrySubDysn - O 6.1.9 Ctry - R 6.1.10 AdrLine - O	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages
Organization ID	<id></id>	6.3	6.11	Harmonization spreadsheet has incorrect ISO Index number for Message 15. Should be 6.11 not 6.3.	6.3 s/b 6.11	Correct Index to reflect true
Organization ID	<schmenm></schmenm>	6.4	6.12	Harmonization spreadsheet has incorrect ISO Index number for Message 15. Should be 6.12 not 6.4.	6.4 s/b 6.12	Correct Index to reflect true hierarchy
Organization ID	<cd></cd>	6.5	6.13	Harmonization spreadsheet has incorrect ISO Index number for Message 15. Should be 6.13 not 6.5.	6.5 s/b 6.13	Correct Index to reflect true hierarchy
Representative Officer	<ctctdtls></ctctdtls>	5.1.25	No Change to ISO Index Number	Tag is Optional in Account Open, but ISO Mandatory in Account Excluded Mandate Maintenance message. There should be consistency between the two messages?	5.1.25 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Representative Officer	<phnenb></phnenb>	5.1.28	No Change to ISO Index Number	Field is optional in Account open, but ISO Mandatory in Excluded Mandate Maintenance. There should be consistency between the two messages?.	5.1.28 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Representative Officer	<emailadr></emailadr>	5.1.31	No Change to ISO Index Number	Field is optional in Account open, but ISO Mandatory in Excluded Mandate Maintenance. There should be consistency between the two messages?	5.1.31 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Sender	<ctctdtls></ctctdtls>	5.1.25	No Change to ISO Index Number	Tag is Optional in Account Open, but ISO Mandatory in Account Excluded Mandate Maintenance message. There should be consistency between the two messages?	5.1.25 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Sender	<phnenb></phnenb>	5.1.28	No Change to ISO Index Number	Field is optional in Account open, but ISO Mandatory in Excluded Mandate Maintenance. There should be consistency between the two messages?	5.1.28 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Sender	<emailadr></emailadr>	5.1.31	No Change to ISO Index Number	Field is optional in Account open, but ISO Mandatory in Excluded Mandate Maintenance. There should be consistency between the two messages?	5.1.31 Mandatory to Optional? Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.
Additonal Message Information	<inf></inf>	7.1	No Change to ISO Index Number	Field is Optional in Account Excluded Mandate Maintenance, but ISO Mandatory in Account Mandate Maintenance message. There should be consistency between the two messages and marked as Mandatory	7.1 Optional to Mandatory - Yes	Consistency: This change is proposed to ensure similar fields are harmonized around a common standard across all eBAM messages.

D. Purpose of the change:

This section must explain why the CGI-MP normative deliverable needs to be changed. The reason for the update may be a business reason, a technical reason, a regulatory reason or the extension of the user community (newly identified business requirements).

Fourteen changes to acmt.015.001.01 are meant to fix errors, harmonize across banks, and ensure consistency across all eBAM Message types.

See purpose of each change in table above.

E. Urgency of the request:

If there is a need to have the new version of the related normative CGI-MP deliverables published earlier, the reason for the urgency should be described here. Acceptance of such an unscheduled maintenance is subject to approval of the CGI-MP Plenary. *Normal priority*

F. Business examples: If possible examples illustrating the change request.